NATIONAL HISTORICAL PUBLICATIONS AND RECORDS COMMISSION

Summary Recommendation of State Historical Records Advisory Board*

Applicant:	Number:
Number of h	poard members completing evaluation forms:
From Section	n II of the individual evaluation forms:
	Average of importance/priority ratings
	Average of technical merit ratings
	Average of overall ratings (Technically flawed proposals should not receive a high overall rating)
indi men	an attached sheet, please summarize the views of the state board as expressed on members vidual evaluation forms, in meetings of the state board, and in your conversations with board abers. Also, on the attached sheet, please describe how the proposal relates to priorities blished by the board.
Signature _	Date State Coordinator

*To be completed by State Historical Records Coordinator. This evaluation and recommendation form will be made available to the grant applicant. Blind copies of board members' individual evaluation forms will be made available to the grant applicant upon request.

Note: Copies of individual evaluation forms should be attached to this summary form and the entire set sent to the Program Director, NHPRC. Evaluation forms must be submitted by five board members or two-thirds of the board members, whichever is greater, prior to NHPRC consideration.

Recomn	nendation of	f the state board to the Commission:		
(8	арр	n behalf of the state board the Coordinator will communicate directly with the blicant regarding the need for additional information or to suggest revision of proposal. The NHPRC should take no official action.		
(l	rejo If info	e NHPRC should consider the application as soon as possible and should ect it for reasons specified by the Coordinator in his/her narrative summary. the Commission agrees with this recommendation, the applicant will be ormed of the reasons for rejection by the Commission and urged to work with a Coordinator and Commission staff toward a revised proposal.		
(e Commission should reject the proposal and should inform the applicant that evised proposal is not desired by the Commission or the state board.		
(0	(d) The Commission should support the proposal if funds permit. The state box considers this proposal:			
	1.	Of the highest priority (the Commission believes that no more than 10% of proposals recommended should be in this category) because of: (Check one or more)		
	2.	Of high priority (the Commission believes that no more than 33% of proposals recommended should be in this category) because of: (Check one or more)		
		the historical or other importance of the records to be dealt with		
		the likely impact of the project on improving records programs		
		the time-critical nature of the project (if support is not given now it may be too late)		
	3.	Of average priority		
	4.	Of low priority		
(e)		(Please comment and advise the Commission on the action you wish the ission to take.)		

2.

^{*}This is the preferred procedure when the board believes that it cannot recommend funding for the proposal as submitted, but would like to encourage resubmission of a revised proposal in a future cycle. This recommendation will not prejudice consideration of the proposal in any way by the NHPRC, but it does commit the board to work with the applicant.